

MEMORANDUM

RE: Evaluation of Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., Lexington facility's status under the RCRA

Info Corrective Action Environmental Indicator Event Code (CA725)

EPA I.D. Number: SCD 077 995 488

FROM: Johnny Tapia, P.E.

Operations Engineering Section Waste Management Division

THRU: Rodney Wingard, Acting Manager

Operations Engineering Section Division of Waste Management

Shelly Sherritt, Acting Assistant Director

Waste Management Division

Henry Porter, Acting Director

Waste Management Division

TO: Safety - Kleen Systems, Inc. - Lexington Project File

EPA I.D. # SCD 077 995 488

Central File # 51759

I. PURPOSE OF MEMO

This memo is written to formalize an evaluation of the status of Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., located in Lexington, South Carolina, in relation to the *Current Human Exposures Under Control* (CA 725) corrective action event code defined in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRA Info). An evaluation of Safety - Kleen Systems' status in relation to the *Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control* (CA 750) corrective action event code will be finalized under separate cover.

shaft

Concurrence by the Operations Engineering Section Manager and the Division of Waste Management Director is required prior to entering this event code into RCRA Info. Your concurrence with the interpretation provided in the following paragraphs and the subsequent recommendation is satisfied by dating and signing at the appropriate location within Attachment 1.

II. HISTORY OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR EVALUATIONS AT THE FACILITY AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

This particular evaluation is the second evaluation for Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc., Lexington facility with regard to the CA 725 corrective action event code. The previous evaluation was complete on August 31,1998. Based on the information available at the time, a status code of "IN" indicated that more information is needed to evaluate human exposures.

III. FACILITY SUMMARY

Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. (SKS) operates a solvent reclamation facility and processes other organic chemicals which are either returned to the customers or blended and sent to other facilities for energy recovery. Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. safetility is situated 10 miles west of Columbia S.C. in Lexington County at 130-A Frontage Road, Lexington, S.C. SKS renewed the Hazardous waste Permit for treatment and storage, which has an effective date of October 30, 1998. The maximum volume of hazardous waste storage in containers is 319,004 gallons and 535,000 gallons in tanks. Container storage areas 4, 12, and 13 have been clean closed. The maximum volume of hazardous waste treatment in the one tank is 35,000 gallons per day at the FRS Vat unit, which and completed closure.

There are six SWMUs and AOCs identified in Appendix A of Safety - Kleen Systems, Inc. Permit, in which these units are required to complete a RCRA facility Investigation (RFI). These units are: SWMUs 1, 13, 14, 15 & AOCs A & B. A Phase 1 and a Phase 2 RFI have been conducted to date. A Phase 2 RFI report dated May 31, 2002 revised October 25, 2002 was conditionally approved on November 25, 2002.

IV. CONCLUSION FOR CA725

Name and ID No.	Location (City or Town)	Date of Latest EI Memo	CA 725 Decision
Safety - Kleen	Lexington, SC	August 31, 1998	"YE"
Systems, Inc.			
SCD 077 995 488			

V. SUMMARY OF FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

The Phase 2 RFI report has been conditionally approved and a risk assessment evaluation is underway to evaluate potential risk.

The *Current Human Exposure Under Control* EI determination will be updated as necessary upon the discovery of new or contrary information.

Mamo to SVS I evington Project File

Memo to SKS-Lexington Project File

March 27, 2003

Page 3 of 3

Attachment: 1. CA725: Current Human Exposures Under Control

cc: Kim Tappa, Division of Hydrogeology Lewis Bedenbaugh, Director Central Midlands Syed Ahmed, EPA Region IV

Interim Final 2/5/99

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

ATTACHMENT 1 DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: Safety - Kleen Systems, Inc.

Facility Address: 130 - A Frontage Road, Lexington, SC 29073

Facility EPA ID #: <u>SCD 077 995 488</u>

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Was Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?					
		If yes - check here and continue with #2 below,				
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or				
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter AN@(more information needed) status code.				

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of ACurrent Human Exposures Under Control@EI

A positive ACurrent Human Exposures Under Control@EI determination (AYE@status code) indicates that there are no Aunacceptable@human exposures to Acontamination@(i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all Acontamination@subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The ACurrent Human Exposures Under Control@EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action programs overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **Acontaminated** above appropriately protective risk-based Aevels@(applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Media	Yes	No	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater	>			PCE; cis 1,2-DCE; TCE
Air (indoors) ²			~	Not measured
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		*		Releases from SWMUs /AOCs are minimally present in surface soil.
Surface Water		~		There are no surface water features at the facility.
Sediment		~		There is no sediment to be sampled at the facility.
Subsurface Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	~			Areas near SWMU 14/AOC A have TPH - mineral spirits in a range from 500 to 11,000 mg/Kg.
Air (outdoors)		~		Not considered of concern.

 If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter AYE,@status code after providing or citing appropriate Aevels,@and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these Alevels@are not exceeded.
 If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each Acontaminated@ medium, citing appropriate Aevels@(or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
 If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter AIN@status code.

1

AContamination@and Acontaminated@describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based Alevels@(for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Rationale and Reference(s):

1. Phase 2 RFI Report (5/31/02), Revised October 25, 2002:

GROUNDWATER: samples collected as part of the phase 2 RFI report:

TMW -1D: PCE = 68 ug/L

TMW-2D: PCE = 9.9 ug/L

TMW -4D: PCE = 78 ug/L

TMW-6D: PCE = 50 ug/L

TMW-7D: PCE = 58 ug/L

TMW-11D: PCE = 130 ug/L

3. Are there **complete pathways** between Acontamination@and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

	Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)						
AContami- nated@ Media	Residents	Workers	Day- Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Air (indoors)	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface, e.g., >2 ft)	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. For Media which are not Acontaminated@as identified in #2, please strike-out specific Media, including Human Receptors=spaces, or enter AN/C@for not contaminated.
- 2. Enter Ayes@or Ano@for potential Acompleteness@under each AContaminated@Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential &Contaminated@ Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have assigned spaces in the above table. While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

 If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to
#6, and enter @YE@status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place,
whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each
contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
 If yes (pathways are complete for any AContaminated@Media - Human Receptor

Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Interim Final 2/5/99

combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

	If unknown (for any AContaminated@Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter Aln@status code
Rationale and	l Reference(s):

Interim Final 2/5/99

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

4	Aignificanto greater in ma Aevels@(use though low)	(i.e., potentially Anacceptable because exposures can be reasonably expected to be agnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable d to identify the Acontamination (or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable Aevels in greater than acceptable risks)?
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially Anacceptable@ for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter AYE@status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to Acontamination@(identified in #3) are not expected to be Asignificant.@
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be Asignificant@(i.e., potentially Anacceptable@ for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially Anacceptable@exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to Acontamination@(identified in #3) are not expected to be Asignificant.@
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter AIN@status code
	Rationale an	d Reference(s):

- Potential Indoor exposure pathway is minimized due that structures located above the groundwater plume have permanent open door/accesses or are used by workers that are regulated under the OSHA standards.
- The potential for exposure of a construction worker to contaminated subsurface soil is minimized due to the restrictions and construction present in areas with contaminated soil. The levels present are relatively low and there is the need of notification and permission to excavate, as well as the obligatory use of personal protective equipment on-site.

If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are Asignificant@(i.e., potentially Anacceptable@) consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

and enter AYE@after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all Asignificant@exposures to Acontamination@are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment). If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be Aunacceptable@-continue and enter ANO@status code after providing a description of each potentially Aunacceptable@exposure.	and enter AYE@after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all Asignificant@exposures to Acontamination@are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-spec Human Health Risk Assessment). If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be Aunacceptable@continue and enter ANO@status code after providing a description of each potentially Aunacceptable@exposure. If unknown (for any potentially Aunacceptable@exposure) - continue and enter ANO@status code		
continue and enter ANO@status code after providing a description of each potentially Aunacceptable@exposure. If unknown (for any potentially Aunacceptable@exposure) - continue and enter AIN@statu code	continue and enter ANO@status code after providing a description of each potentially Aunacceptable@exposure. If unknown (for any potentially Aunacceptable@exposure) - continue and enter AIN@stat code		Asignificant@exposures to Acontamination@are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-special
code	code		
Rationale and Reference(s):Skipped as per question # 4	Rationale and Reference(s):Skipped as per question # 4		If unknown (for any potentially Aunacceptable@exposure) - continue and enter AIN@statu code
Rationale and Reference(s):Skipped as per question # 4	Rationale and Reference(s):Skipped as per question # 4		
		Rationale a	and Reference(s):Skipped as per question # 4
		-	

Interim Final 2/5/99

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Safety-Kleen Systems , Inc. facility, EPA ID # SCD 077 995 488 , located at 130-A Frontage Road , Lexington, SC under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.
	Completed by Date: 3-28-03 Johnny Tapia, P.E.
	Operations Engineering Section Bureau of Land and Waste Management
	Supervisor Myray Date: 3/28/03 Rodney Wingard, Acting Manager

Locations where References may be found.

SCDHEC

Bureau of Land and Waste Management 8901 Farrow Rd., Suite 109

Operations Engineering Section

Bureau of Land and Waste Management

Columbia, SC 29203

USEPA Region 4
RCRA Programs Branch
Waste Management Division
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, GA 30303

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

Johnny M. Tapia (803) 896-4179 tapiajm@dhec.sc.gov